



Edie Myer
PRINCIPLES OF QUALITY (PTAC2314 40231S)

BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), PRINCIPLES OF QUALITY

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "PRINCIPLES OF QUALITY (PTAC2314 40231S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood
Assistant Research Analyst
Brazosport College
500 College Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
(979)-230-3189
robert.wood@brazosport.edu

Edie Myer
2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S)
PRINCIPLES OF QUALITY (PTAC2314 40231S)
No. of responses = 2



Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance:

3.1) Instructions for course activities and assignments were clear.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.4
3.2) The workload in this course was well distributed throughout the term.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.3) The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
3.4) Assessments/exams were based on material covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, group activities, etc.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.5) The instructor made learning relevant to my field of study, career, or degree.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
3.6) The instructor used a variety of instructional methods (examples: group discussions, student presentations, student activities, lab activities, online discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.)	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
3.7) The instructor provided timely feedback on my assignments.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
3.8) The instructor exhibited professional behavior, treated students respectfully, and used appropriate language during class.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7

3.9) The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.

strongly disagree




strongly agree

n=2
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.10) The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.

strongly disagree




strongly agree

n=2
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.7

4. Overall Satisfaction With This Course:

4.1) Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.

Very Dissatisfied




Very Satisfied

n=2
av.=3.5
md=3.5
dev.=2.1

5. Course Recommendation:

5.1) How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?

Very Unlikely




Very Likely

n=2
av.=3
md=3
dev.=2.8


7. Student Demographics:

7.1) How many credits have you completed toward your degree or certificate?




n=2

7.2) This course is instructed as:




n=2

7.3) This term I am currently enrolled in:




n=2

7.4) My current major is:



n=2

7.5) I am currently a high school student taking college courses.



n=2

Comments Report

2. More Than One Instructor:

2.1) **If your course had more than one instructor.** in the box type the name of the instructor you are assessing. If your course only had one instructor, skip this question.

■ Myers

6. Open Response:

6.1) What are the strengths of this course?

■ It was easier than most in the program.

■ Well managed

6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?

■ I think we use too much engineering point of views. Most of the things we've been told that were going to use as an operator in these courses, I've seldomly used if at all. We also build these courses specific to the ways of certain plants which is only helpful if you get hired to the plant in which the instructor is basing the course on.

■ None